

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,625	10/20/2003	Hiroyuki Kawamoto	244149US2	1788
22850 7590 12/13/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET		WOLDEMARIAM, AKILILU K		
ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
•				
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/687,625	KAWAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAN INC DATE (this construction)	Aklilu k. Woldemariam	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ity be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 October 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
,	•				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objointhe drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

10/687,625 Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment field on 10/11/2007 has been entered. Claims 1-7 have been amended. Claims 1-7 are still pending, with claim 1 being an independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishigaki (U.S. Patent number 7, 009, 722 B1) in view of Hiroshi (Japan Publication number 2000-032241).

Regarding claim 1, Nishigaki discloses an image processing apparatus (see fig.2) comprising an image storage unit configured to store a plurality of types of image data in a first data format that is compressed (see column 2, lines 7-11 and column 3, lines 23-27 and 42-44 and column 4, lines 434-39); a data format converter configured to convert the first data format of the image data to a second data format being a general data format (see fig.1A and B and column 2, lines 24-41).

Nishigaki does not disclose a communicator including a communication interface that transmits configured to transmit the image data of the first data

10/687,625 Art Unit: 2624

format together with the image data of the second data format as reference image data for the image data of the first data format to an external device.

Hiroshi discloses a communicator including a communication interface that transmits configured to transmit the image data of the first data format together with the image data of the second data format as reference image data for the image data of the first data format to an external device (see abstract and paragraph [0016] and[0018]).

It would have been obvious to someone of the ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to use Hiroshi's a communicator including a communication interface that transmits configured to transmit the image data of the first data format together with the image data of the second data format as reference image data for the image data of the first data format to an external device in Nishigaki's an image processing apparatus because it will allow to improve the speed of data processing technique, with scanner equipment and is stored up in large capacity storage, [Hiroshi's, see paragraph [0003]).

Regarding Claim 2, Hiroshi discloses the image processing apparatus (see item 11, drawing 1) according to claim 1, wherein the data format converter comprises an expandor configured to expand the image data stored in the image storage unit (see paragraph [0022] and [0023]); a multinary unit configured to convert image data expanded of low bits to multinary image data (see abstract and paragraph [0011]); and a data compressor configured to compress the multinary

10/687,625 Art Unit: 2624

image data into a multinary general compression format (reference) (see abstract and paragraph [0011]).

Regarding Claim 3, Horishi discloses the image processing apparatus (see item 11, drawing 1) according to claim 1, wherein the data format converter comprises an expandor configured to expand the image data stored in the image storage unit (see paragraph [0022] and [0023]); a binary unit configured to convert the image data expanded, which is monochrome multinary image data, to binary image data (see paragraph [0022] and [0023]); and a data compressor configured to compress the binary image data in a binary general compression format (see abstract and paragraph [0022] and [0023]).

Regarding Claim 7, Horishi discloses the image processing apparatus (see item 11, drawing 1) according to claim 1, further comprising an imaging unit configured to form an image on a recording medium based on the image data stored in the image storage unit (see drawing 1 and paragraph [0011]), wherein a printing function is combined with the imaging unit to adapt the first data format of the image data stored in the image storage unit to a data format used in the imaging unit (see drawing 1, abstract and paragraph [0011], and [0016]).

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishigaki in view of Horishi as applied to claim 1, above, and further in view of Kato (U.S. Publication number 2001/0012397 A1).

10/687,625 Art Unit: 2624

Regarding Claim 4, Horishi discloses the image processing apparatus (see item 11, drawing 1) according to claim 1, wherein the data format converter (see abstract and paragraph [0022] and [0023]) comprises.

Horishi does not disclose a color space converter configured to convert a color space of the image data stored in the image storage unit, which is color multinary image data, to a general color space.

Kato discloses a color space converter configured to convert a color space of the image data stored in the image storage unit, which is color multinary image data, to a general color space (see fig. 18 and abstract and paragraph [0102], [0104] and [0151]).

It would have been obvious to someone of the ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to use Kato's a color space converter configured to convert a color space of the image data stored in the image storage unit, which is color multinary image data, to a general color space in Horishi's an image processing apparatus because it will allow to reduce the memory capacity and the transmission data volume, [Kato, see paragraph [0004]).

Regarding Claim 5, Kato discloses the image processing apparatus (see fig.5) according to claim 1, wherein the data format converter comprises at least one resolution converter of a multinary resolution converter configured to perform resolution conversion on the image data stored in the image storage unit, which is multinary image data (see fig.22 and 23, paragraph [0164] and [0178]); and a binary resolution converter that performs configured to perform

10/687,625 Art Unit: 2624

resolution conversion on binary image data (see fig.22 and 23, paragraph [0164] and [0178]).

Regarding Claim 6, Kato discloses the image processing apparatus (see fig.5) according to claim 5, wherein the at least one resolution converter is configured to perform resolution conversion on image data at a conversion rate such that resolution of the image data as a base of conversion (see paragraph [0099], [0120], [0137] and [0178]) and a resolution after the conversion are fall into a predetermined range (see item 231, fig.22 and [0164]).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments field on 10/11/2007 have been respectfully considered, they are persuasive. Regarding 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 1, the applicant's argued that with references (Nishigaki, Imaiszumi and Kato) do not disclose the claim 1 limitation, "communicator including a communication interface that transmits configured to transmit the image data of the first data format together with the image data of the second data format as reference image data for the image data of the first data format to an external device." The examiner agrees that Nishigaki, Imaiszumi and Kato do not disclose this claim limitation. However, Hiroshi discloses a communicator including a communication interface that transmits configured to transmit the image data of the first data format together with the image data of the second data format as reference image data for the image data of the first data format to an external device (see abstract and paragraph [0016] and[0018]).

10/687,625 Art Unit: 2624

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aklilu k. Woldemariam whose telephone number is 571-270-3247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m-5:00 p.m EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samir Ahmed can be reached on 571-272-7413. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/687,625 Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Samir Ahmed SPE Art Unit 2624

A.W. 12/06/2007

> SAMIR AHMED SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER